THE SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF WASHINGTO. FOR KING COUNTY

BERT STARES.

Plaintiff,

-VS-

MATDE PALMBERG, ANNIE
STANGROOM, GERTIE GORMAN
HUSHES, MRS. ARTHUR HARRIS,
force by Elleen Stangroom,
HISTERGEL, deceased,
her heirs, and ALFRED
HARRE, deceased, and
heirs,

Defendants.

No. 367315

ANSWER TO PLAINTIFF'S

AMENDED CO.PLAINT



Gome now the defendants Gertie Gorman Hughes and Minnie Highes, executrix of the last will and testament of Maude Palmberg, deceased, and for answer to the amended complaint of the plaintiff herein say:

Ï.

Answering Paragraph I of the said amended complaint these defendants admit that the parties are joint owners of Parcels A and C designated in said paragraph, but deny all the resainder of said paragraph.

II.

Answering Paragraph II, they admit that Minnie Englies is the executrix of the will of Maude Palmberg; that Centrale Hughes was formerly Gertie German; they deny all other marks of good goods graph.

III.

They deny Paragraph III of the said a decided out laint.

TV.

They deny Faragraph IV of said a contract the

٧.

Answering Faracraph V, they dong

-

30

31

1.

part thereof, except they admit that Gertrude Hughes and the that no party paid rent.

VI.

Anamering Paragraph VI, the defendants deny the same.

VII.

They dony Paragraph VII and each and every part thereof.
VIII.

They deny Paragraph VIII.

FOR FURTHER ANSWER AND BY WAY OF CROSS-CCMPLAINT AGAINST

I.

That the property involved in this proceeding is property by the parents of the parties hereto. That the plaintiff, Stares, was administrator of the estate, but after the close the state these defendants and the other defendants, while property was still intact, gave to plaintiff the money to the taxes upon said property, relying upon the plaintiff, for Stares, to pay the same, and that he accepted the same, as trusted, for the purpose of paying the taxes. That after some these defendants discovered that plaintiff had misappropriced the money and had not paid the taxes, as a result of which items defendants lost their interest in Parcel E of the property unliqued in the complaint, and the same was sold for taxes, and the same has become a total loss to these defendants, as well as the money which they advanced to pay the taxes.

II.

That if the partition be had in this care, these defendants sesert that they are entitled to have trein a milen of farcel B that was lost by reason of the taxes not being and, charged against the plaintiff, as well an the care we the taxy advanced

taxes, and which was misappopriated by the said Bert III.

the plaintiffs have continuously used and lived on a the property involved, namely Parcel A, since 1925, have tent therefor, and have used the whole of said Parcel A. Tasconable rental therefor is \$50.00 per month, which the should be required to pay to defendants as reasonable.

PURTHER ANSWER AND BY WAY OF AN AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE,

ī.

That there is a defect of parties plaintiff and defendant.

as to the property sought to be partitioned in this case,

many other parties interested, known to the plaintiff

bave in interest, and they have not been made defendants,

see they been made plaintiffs in this cause, and that

and with this cause would amount to nothing.

THE SOURCE OF this court.

Attorneys for defendants Certic Gorman Hughes and Minnie Hughes, Executrix.

STATE OF WASHINGTON

County of King

88

pce the says That she is one of the answering defendants hereing that he has read the foregoing answer, knows the contents thereof, and believes the same to be true.

SUBSCRIBED AND SWORN TO before me this _____ dry of June, 1943.

NOTALY and for the State of Washington, saiding at Secution